#### NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

#### **DIVISION TWO**

In re SALVADOR G., a Person Coming Under Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

SALVADOR G.,

Defendant and Appellant.

B275456

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. FJ53018)

### THE COURT:\*

Salvador G. (minor) appeals his judgment declaring him to be a ward of the juvenile court. His appointed counsel filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*),

<sup>\*</sup>ASHMANN-GERST, Acting, P.J., CHAVEZ, J., HOFFSTADT, J.

raising no issues. On September 1, 2016, we notified minor of his counsel's brief and gave him leave to file, within 30 days, his own brief or letter stating any grounds or argument he might wish to have considered. That time has elapsed, and minor has submitted no brief or letter. We have reviewed the entire record, and finding no arguable issues, affirm the judgment.

A petition filed pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code section 602 alleged that minor had committed in two counts "the crime of ROBBERY IN THE SECOND DEGREE, in violation of PENAL CODE 212.5(c), a Felony," and the crime of assault with force likely to produce great bodily injury, in violation of Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(4). The juvenile court heard the evidence and sustained the petition after finding true all three counts, which the court determined were felonies. On May 27, 2016, minor was removed from the custody of his parents and placed under the supervision of the Probation Department for camp community placement for five to seven months. The court set the maximum time of confinement at seven years four months, and calculated predisposition custody credit to be 43 days. Minor filed a timely notice of appeal from the judgment.

At the adjudication hearing, held jointly with another minor, the evidence showed that as Beatrice G. and David G. were walking together after school on April 20, 2016, five boys, including minor, surrounded them. Minor asked David where he was from, and then punched David when he replied that he was not from anywhere. Minor tripped David, who fell, and as he lay

on the ground, all five boys punched and kicked him in the body and head. One of the boys also hit Beatrice. Minor took Beatrice's cell phone from David's pockets. When a police car appeared, the assailants ran. Beatrice and David made a report, and three of the boys were detained within minutes. Beatrice and David identified minor as one of the assailants, and Beatrice's cell phone was found in minor's backpack.

Minor testified that he belonged to a tagging crew but not a gang. He and some friends approached David after school because he was angry with David, who belonged to a different tagging crew. Minor punched David twice, but claimed that his friends were responsible for tripping and kicking David. Minor denied telling his friends to do anything to David, and denied checking David's pockets, taking anything from him, or touching David at all once he was on the ground. Minor claimed that it was his friend "Sicko" who took the phone. Sicko dropped it while running from the police, so minor picked it up, put it in his backpack, and continued running.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that minor's appellate counsel has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issue exists. We conclude that minor has, by virtue of counsel's compliance with the *Wende* procedure and our review of the record, received adequate and effective appellate review of the judgment entered against him in this case. (See *Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 278; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 123-124.)

The judgment is affirmed.

# NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS.